

A COURSE IN HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

Chapter **: Tor, Flatness, and Purity

E. L. Lady (April 7, 1996)

Proposition. If Λ is a K -algebra and M a Λ -module, then the functor $M \otimes_{\Lambda} _$ is left adjoint to $\text{Hom}_K(M, _)$.

Corollary. Tensor products preserve colimits.

Definition. Flat modules.

Lemma. Projective modules are flat.

PROOF: Free modules are flat.

Definition. Tor.

Theorem. Tor commutes with (filtered) direct limits and coproducts.

Theorem. [Bourbaki, Commutative Algebra I, Lazard, Bull. Soc. Math. France 97(1969,81–128)]. The following are equivalent:

- (1) M is flat.
- (2) $X \rightarrow Y$ monic implies $X \otimes_{\Lambda} M \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\Lambda} M$ monic.
- (3) For every ideal I , the multiplication map $I \otimes_{\Lambda} M \rightarrow M$ is monic.
- (4) $\text{Tor}_1(\Lambda/I, M) = 0$ for all finitely generated right ideals I .
- (5) Whenever $a_j \in M$ and $\ell_{ij} \in \Lambda$ are such that $\sum_j \ell_{ij} a_j = 0$ for all i , then there exist $y_k \in M$ and $r_{ij} \in \Lambda$ such that

$$m_j = \sum_k r_{kj} y_k$$
$$\sum_j \ell_{ij} r_{jk} = 0 \quad \forall i, k.$$

(6) For every map φ from a finitely generated Λ -module B into M , there exists homomorphism from a finitely generated free Λ -module F into M such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & F \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & M \end{array} \quad (\text{Insert diagonal arrow for } \varphi.)$$

- (7) M is a direct limit of finitely generated free modules.

Corollary. Finitely presented flat modules are projective.

Theorem. Let M be a flat Λ -module.

- (1) Every regular element of Λ is regular on M .
- (2) If I and J are right ideals, then $IM \cap JM = (I \cap J)M$.

Theorem. If $R \rightarrow A$ is a flat morphism of commutative rings and M is a finitely generated R -module, then $\text{ann}(S \otimes_R M) = (\text{ann}_R M)S$.

Proposition. If Λ is a flat K -algebra then

$$\Lambda \otimes_K \text{Tor}_n^K(-, -) \approx \text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(\Lambda \otimes_K -, \Lambda \otimes_K -).$$

Theorem. If R is a commutative ring, then M is flat if and only if $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} .

Theorem. Let Λ be a quasi-local ring with maximal ideal J . Let M be a finitely presented left Λ -module. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is free.
- (2) $\text{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\Lambda/J, M) = 0$.
- (2) The multiplication map $J \otimes_\Lambda M \rightarrow JM$ is monic.

SEMI-HEREDITARY RINGS AND PRÜFER DOMAINS

Definition. A ring Λ is **left semi-hereditary** if every finitely generated left ideal is projective.

Theorem. Λ is left semi-hereditary if and only if all finitely generated submodules of projective modules are projective.

For noetherian rings the concept of “hereditary” and “semi-hereditary” coincide.

Theorem. If Λ is left semi-hereditary then $\text{Tor}_n^\Lambda = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

Definition. A **Prüfer domain** is a semi-hereditary integral domain.

A Prüfer domain is the non-noetherian (or not necessarily noetherian) analog of a dedekind domain.

Theorem. An integral domain is a Prüfer domain if and only if all finitely generated torsion free modules are projective.

Theorem. A module over a Prüfer domain is flat if and only if it is torsion free.

Theorem. If M and N are modules over a Prüfer domain R , then

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(M, N) \approx \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(\mathfrak{t}M, N),$$

where $\mathfrak{t}M$ is the torsion submodule of M . Furthermore, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(M, N)$ is a torsion module.

VON NEUMANN REGULAR RINGS

Definition. A ring is **von Neumann regular** if for every element a there exists an element x in the ring such that $axa = a$. In other words,

$$(\forall a \in \Lambda) \quad a \in a\Lambda a.$$

This condition definitely seems to be on the technical side. However it's actually much more natural than it appears, as the following theorem shows. Recall that a left ideal of Λ is generated by an idempotent if and only if it is a summand of Λ (considered as a left Λ -module).

Theorem. The following conditions for a ring Λ are equivalent:

- (1) Λ is von Neumann regular.
- (2) Every principal left ideal is generated by an idempotent.
- (3) Every finitely generated left ideal is generated by an idempotent.
- (4) Every right Λ -module is flat.
- (5) Every cyclic right Λ -module is flat.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2): If $axa = a$ then xa is an idempotent and the principal left ideal Λa is generated by xa .

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Consider a left ideal I generated by a_1, \dots, a_n . By induction on the number of generators, we may suppose that there exists an idempotent f such that $\Lambda a_1 + \dots + \Lambda a_{n-1} = \Lambda f$. Then by the modular law we get

$$\Lambda a_1 + \dots + \Lambda a_n = \Lambda f \oplus (I \cap \Lambda(1 - f))$$

and the rest is easy.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): It suffices to see that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(M, \Lambda/I) = 0$ for all finitely generated left ideals I . But (3) implies that such an I is a summand of the ring, so Λ/I is projective.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Clear.

(5) \Rightarrow (1): Let a be an element of Λ . Then by assumption $\Lambda/\Lambda a$ is flat. This yields an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(a\Lambda, \Lambda/a\Lambda) = 0 \longrightarrow a\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda a \longrightarrow a\Lambda \xrightarrow{\beta} a\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda/\Lambda a \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Ker} \beta = a\Lambda a$, we see that $a\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda a \approx a\Lambda a$. The inclusion $a\Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ induces an exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & a\Lambda a & \longrightarrow & a\Lambda & \longrightarrow & a\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda (\Lambda/\Lambda a) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda a & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda/\Lambda a \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $\Lambda/\Lambda a$ is flat, γ is monic and the left-hand square must be a pull back, in other words $a\Lambda a = \Lambda a \cap a\Lambda$. Thus $a \in a\Lambda a$, as required. \square

Theorem. A commutative ring is von Neumann regular if and only if it has Krull dimension one and has no non-trivial nilpotent elements.

PROOF: (\Rightarrow): Easy.

(\Leftarrow): It suffices to prove that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is von Neumann regular for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . But since $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is zero-dimensional, $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{nilrad}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$, so $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a field. \square

FAITHFULLY FLAT MODULES

Definitions. A functor $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **faithful** if it preserves the distinctness of morphisms, i.e. $T(\varphi) \neq T(\psi)$ whenever φ and ψ are distinct morphisms from an object X to an object Y in \mathcal{C} .

Generator. Cogenerator.

Proposition. An exact functor T from one abelian category to another is faithful if and only if TA is non-zero whenever A is non-zero.

PROOF: (\Rightarrow): Clear.

(\Leftarrow): Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-zero morphism and let A be the image, so that α factors as $X \twoheadrightarrow A \hookrightarrow Y$. Since T is exact, $T\alpha$ factors as $TX \twoheadrightarrow TA \hookrightarrow TY$. Since $A \neq 0$, by hypothesis $TA \neq 0$. Thus $T\alpha$ is not zero. \square

Proposition. An additive functor between abelian categories is faithful if and only if it **reflects exactness**, i.e. if $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ is not exact in the source category, then $TX \rightarrow TY \rightarrow TZ$ will not be exact.

PROOF: (\Leftarrow): Let $0 \neq \beta: B \rightarrow C$ be a non-zero morphism in the source category. Then the sequence $B \xrightarrow{1_B} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$ is not exact. Thus

$$TB \xrightarrow{1_{TB}} TB \xrightarrow{T\beta} C$$

is not exact, so $T\beta \neq 0$.

(\Rightarrow): Let T be faithful and consider a sequence $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$ in the source category. Now if $\beta\alpha \neq 0$, then $T(\beta\alpha) \neq 0$ since T is faithful, so clearly $TA \xrightarrow{T\alpha} TB \xrightarrow{T\beta} TC$ is not exact.

Suppose therefore that $\beta\alpha = 0$ but that $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$ is not exact. Thus (thinking in terms of modules), $\alpha(A) \not\subseteq \text{Ker } \beta$.

In more categorical terms, let $K \xrightarrow{\sigma} B$ be the kernel of β and $B \xrightarrow{\tau} L$ be the cokernel of α . Intuitively, $L = B/\alpha(A)$ and the fact that $\alpha(A) \not\subseteq \text{Ker } \beta$ can be expressed in categorical terms by saying that $\tau\sigma \neq 0$. Then since T is faithful, $T(\tau\sigma) \neq 0$. Now $\beta\sigma = 0$ so $T(\beta)T(\sigma) = 0$ (since T is additive), which says that the image of $T(\sigma)$ is contained in $\text{Ker } T\beta$. Also, $T(\tau\alpha) = 0$, so $\text{Image}(T\alpha) \subseteq \text{Ker}(T\tau)$. **** Thus to show that $TA \xrightarrow{T\alpha} TB \xrightarrow{T\beta} TC$ is not exact, it will certainly suffice to show that

$$\text{Image}(T\alpha) \not\subseteq \text{Image}(T\sigma) \subseteq \text{Ker } T\beta.$$

Theorem. Let T be a faithful additive functor from Λ -modules to Λ' -modules.

(1) Suppose that T preserves direct limits. Let M be a Λ -module such that TM is finitely generated. Then M is finitely generated.

(2) Suppose that T preserves all colimits and that $T\Lambda$ is a finitely presented Λ' -module. Then M is finitely presented.

Definition. A left Λ -module M is **faithfully flat** if $-\otimes_{\Lambda} M$ is a faithful and exact functor.

Theorem. The following conditions are equivalent for a left Λ -module M .

(1) M is faithfully flat.

(2) A sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right Λ -modules is exact if and only if the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{\Lambda} M \longrightarrow B \otimes_{\Lambda} M \longrightarrow C \otimes_{\Lambda} M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(3) M is flat and for every non-trivial right Λ -module A , $A \otimes_{\Lambda} M \neq 0$.

(4) M is flat and for all [maximal] right ideals I , $IM \neq 0$.

FAITHFULLY FLAT RING EXTENSIONS

Definitions. Faithfully flat algebra. Faithfully flat morphism of rings.

Theorem. Let $\gamma: K \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a K -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) Λ is a faithfully flat K -algebra.
- (2) Λ is flat, γ is monic, and $\Lambda/\gamma(K)$ is a flat K -module.
- (3) Λ is flat and for every K -module G , the standard map $G \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes_K G$ is monic.
- (4) Λ is flat and every ideal \mathfrak{a} of K is the contraction of its extension to Λ , i. e. $\mathfrak{a} = \gamma^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}\Lambda)$ (or, more informally, $\mathfrak{a} = K \cap \mathfrak{a}\Lambda$).
- (5) Λ is flat and every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} in K is the contraction of an ideal in Λ , i. e. there exists an ideal I in Λ such that $\mathfrak{m} = \gamma^{-1}(I)$.

Lemma. Let Λ be a flat K -algebra. Then the standard map $\Lambda_K \text{Hom}_K(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda \otimes_K A, \Lambda \otimes_K B)$ is a monomorphism when A is finitely generated and an isomorphism when A is finitely presented.

Theorem. Let Λ be a faithfully flat K -algebra and let G be a K -module.

- (1) G is flat if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is flat.
- (2) G is finitely generated [presented] if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is finitely generated [presented].
- (3) G is a finitely generated projective K -module if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is a finitely generated projective Λ -module.

Proposition. Let Λ be a K -algebra. If there exists a faithfully flat Λ -module M which is flat as a K -module, then Λ is a flat K -algebra.

PURE SUBMODULES

We were led to the idea of flat modules by looking for those modules M such that whenever A is a submodule of a right Λ -module B , the induced map $A \otimes_\Lambda M \rightarrow B \otimes_\Lambda M$ is monic.

Another natural line of investigation is to look for pairs $A \subseteq B$ such that for every possible left Λ -module M , the induced map $A \otimes_\Lambda M \rightarrow B \otimes_\Lambda M$ is monic. When this is the case, we say that A is a **pure submodule** of B .

Proposition. \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is an injective cogenerator for the category of abelian groups.

Proposition. Let $T = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ taking right Λ -modules to left Λ -modules. A right Λ -module A is flat if and only if $T(A)$ is an injective Λ -module.

Definition. A sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right Λ -modules is called **pure exact** if for every left Λ -module M the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_\Lambda M \longrightarrow B \otimes_\Lambda M \longrightarrow C \otimes_\Lambda M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. (Since the tensor product is right exact, if the original sequence is exact, the only issue in doubt is that $A \otimes_{\Lambda} M \rightarrow B \otimes_{\Lambda} M$ be monic.)

A is called a **pure submodule** of B if the natural sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\subseteq} B \longrightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$$

is a pure exact sequence.

Clearly every split exact sequence is pure exact. Also, since the tensor product preserves direct limits, it is not hard to see that a direct limit of pure exact sequences is pure exact.

The following theorem says that all pure exact sequences can be obtained as direct limits of split exact sequences.

Theorem. Let $0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a sequence of right Λ -modules. The following are equivalent.

- (1) The sequence is pure exact.
- (2) The sequence is exact and the morphism $T(A) \otimes_{\Lambda} \alpha$ is monic.
- (3) The sequence of Λ -modules $0 \rightarrow TC \rightarrow TB \rightarrow TA \rightarrow 0$ is split exact.
- (4) The sequence $0 \rightarrow TC \rightarrow TB \rightarrow TA \rightarrow 0$ is pure exact.
- (5) For every finitely presented right Λ -module F , the induced map $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(F, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(F, C)$ is surjective.
- (6) The sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ can be obtained as a direct limit of split exact sequences.

PROOF: 1) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 3) \Rightarrow 4) \Rightarrow 6) \Rightarrow 1).

To prove 4) \Rightarrow 5) consider the map $\sigma: TA \otimes_{\Lambda} F \rightarrow T(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(F, A))$ given by $\sigma(\theta \otimes f)(\varphi) = \theta(\varphi(f))$. This map σ is an isomorphism if F is finitely presented. \square

Corollary [P. M. Cohn]. Let N be a submodule of the left Λ -module M . Then N is a pure submodule of M if and only if the following condition is satisfied.

Whenever there exist elements $n_1, \dots, n_r \in N$, $m_1, \dots, m_s \in M$, and $\ell_{ij} \in \Lambda$ such that for all i , $\sum_j \ell_{ij} m_j = n_i$, then there exist $m'_1, \dots, m'_s \in N$ satisfying the same equations, i. e.

$$(\forall i) \quad \sum_j \ell_{ij} m'_j = n_i.$$

Corollary. If N is a pure submodule of M and I if a right ideal in Λ , then $IM = M \cap IN$.

Corollary. A pure subring of a noetherian [artinian] ring is noetherian [artinian].

Theorem. If K is a pure subring of the center of Λ , then $\Lambda \otimes_K _$ is a faithful functor from K -modules to Λ -modules.

Lemma. If K is a pure subring of the center of Λ and \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in K , then \mathfrak{a} is a pure K -submodule of $\mathfrak{a}\Lambda$.

PROOF: Suppose that there exist $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $b_j \in \mathfrak{a}\Lambda$ and $k_{ij} \in K$ such that for all i ,

$$\sum_j b_j k_{ij} = a_i,$$

Since $b_j \in \mathfrak{a}\Lambda$, we can write $b_j = \sum_m c_m \ell_{jm}$, for $c_m \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\sum_{j,m} c_m \ell_{jm} k_{ij} = a_i.$$

Since K is pure in Λ , there exist $\ell'_{jm} \in K$ such that $\sum_{j,m} c_m \ell'_{jm} k_{ij} = a_i$. Now let

$$b'_j = \sum_m c_m \ell'_{jm} \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Theorem. Let K be a pure subring of the center of Λ and let G be a K -module.

- (1) G is flat if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is a flat Λ -module.
- (2) G is finitely generated [finitely presented] if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is a finitely generated [finitely presented] Λ -module.
- (3) G is a finitely generated projective module if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is a finitely generated projective Λ -module.

PROOF: (1)(\Leftarrow): Suppose that $\Lambda \otimes_K G$ is a flat Λ -module. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in K and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{a} \otimes_K G & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow \text{monic} & & \text{monic} \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{a}\Lambda \otimes_K G & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \mathfrak{a}\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda \otimes_K G \xrightarrow{\text{monic}} \Lambda \otimes_K M. \end{array} \quad (\text{Insert diagonal arrow.})$$

Theorem. Let N be a submodule of a **flat** Λ -module M . The following are equivalent.

- (1) N is a pure submodule of M .
- (2) $IN = N \cap IM$ for all finitely generated right ideals I of Λ .
- (3) M/N is flat.

Corollary. A pure submodule of a flat module is flat.

Theorem. If Λ is left noetherian, then pure submodules of injective left Λ -modules are injective.

Theorem. A push-out or pull-back of a pure exact sequence is pure exact, as is the Baer sum of two pure exact sequences. Thus there is a subfunctor $\text{Pext}_{\Lambda}^1(-, -)$ of $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(-, -)$ corresponding to the pure exact sequences.

Theorem. If R is a commutative ring, then a sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules is pure exact if and only if for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow 0$$

is pure exact.