

Invertible Ideals over an Integral Domain

E. L. Lady

Proposition. Let N be an ideal of a ring R containing only nilpotent elements.

- (1) Let $r \in R$ be such that the image of r in R/N is invertible. Then r is invertible in R .
- (2) Let $r \in R$ be such that the image of r in R/N is idempotent. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e \equiv r \pmod{N}$.

PROOF: (1) Since r is invertible modulo N there $s \in R$ be such that $rs \equiv sr \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. It now suffices to prove that rs and sr are invertible. Now let $n = 1 - rs$. Then $n \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ so by hypothesis $n^k = 0$ for some k . Then

$$rs(1 + \cdots + n^{k-1}) = (1 - n)(1 + \cdots + n^{k-1}) = 1 - n^k = 1.$$

(2) If $e \equiv r \pmod{N}$ then the image of e in R/N is idempotent, so $e^2 - e \in N$ and since all elements of N are nilpotent, $(e^2 - e)^k = 0$ for some k . Choose e so that k is as small as possible. We claim that $k = 1$. If not, note that $(2e - 1)^2 = 1 + 4(e^2 - e)$ is invertible since $e^2 - e$ is nilpotent and thus $2e - 1$ is also invertible. Now $e(2e - 1) = (2e - 1)e$ and so $(2e - 1)^{-1}e = e(2e - 1)^{-1}$. Let $n = -(e^2 - e)(2e - 1)^{-1}$. Then n commutes with e . It now follows that $n^k = (-1)^k(e^2 - e)^k(2e - 1)^{-k} = 0$. Since n commutes with e , $(e + n)^2 - (e + n) = e^2 - e + n(2e - 1) + n^2 = e^2 - e - (e^2 - e)(2e - 1)^{-1}(2e - 1) + n^2 = n^2$. Now $n^k = 0$ and if $k > 1$ then $2(k - 1) = 2k - 2 \geq 2k - k = k$ so that $(n^2)^{k-1} = 0$. In other words, if $k > 1$ then $[(e + n)^2 - (e + n)]^{k-1} = 0$. Since $e + n \equiv e \equiv r \pmod{N}$ this contradicts the minimality of k . Thus $k = 1$ so that $e^2 - e = 0$ and e is an idempotent, as required. \square

Lemma. Let M and N be **torsion free** modules over an integral domain D . Let $S = D \setminus \{0\}$. Identify M and N as submodules of $S^{-1}M$ and $S^{-1}N$ (which we can do since they are torsion free) and let $K = S^{-1}D$, the quotient field of D . Then $\text{Hom}_D(M, N)$ can be identified with the set of K -linear transformations $\varphi_0: S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$ such that $\varphi_0(M) \subseteq N$.

PROOF: If $\varphi_0: S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$ is K -linear then it is a fortiori D -linear. Thus if $\varphi_0(M) \subseteq N$ then the restriction of φ_0 to M belongs to $\text{Hom}_D(M, N)$.

Conversely, if $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_D(M, N)$ then φ induces a K -linear map $\varphi_0 = S^{-1}\varphi: S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$ and φ is the restriction of φ_0 . \square

Theorem. Let D be a (commutative) integral domain and \mathfrak{a} an ideal in D . Let K be the quotient field of D . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathfrak{a} is projective.
- (2) There exists an ideal \mathfrak{b} of D such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ is principal.
- (3) There exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in K$ such that $b_i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq D$ for all i and $\sum a_i b_i = 1$.

Furthermore, in this case the elements a_1, \dots, a_n generate \mathfrak{a} . In particular, \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated. Also $\mathfrak{b} \approx \text{Hom}_D(\mathfrak{a}, D)$ (as D -modules).

PROOF: (1) \Leftrightarrow (3): Let $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of generators for \mathfrak{a} and let F be a free D -module with a basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then there exists a surjection $\varphi: F \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ with $\varphi(e_i) = a_i$. And \mathfrak{a} is projective if and only if φ splits. (If $\sum_I d_i e_i \in F$ then $\varphi(\sum_I d_i e_i) = \sum_I d_i a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$.)

Let $S = D \setminus \{0\}$ and let $K = S^{-1}D$. Then $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ is a non-trivial K -subspace of the one-dimensional vector space K so $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} = K$. Now φ splits if and only if there exists $\sigma: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow F$ such that $\varphi\sigma = 1_{\mathfrak{a}}$. By the preceding Lemma, such a σ exists if and only if there is a K -linear map $\sigma_0: S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} = K \rightarrow S^{-1}F$ such that $S^{-1}\varphi\sigma_0 = 1_K$ and $\sigma_0(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq F$.

But if $\sigma_0: K \rightarrow F$ is K -linear then σ_0 is determined by $\sigma_0(1) = \sum b_i e_i$ where $b_i \in K$ and almost all b_i are zero. Suppose, say, that $b_1, \dots, b_t \neq 0$ and $b_i = 0$ otherwise. Then for $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\sigma_0(x) = \sum_1^t b_i x e_i \in F$ if and only if $b_i x \in D$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Thus $\sigma_0(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq F$ if and only if $b_i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq D$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. And $S^{-1}\varphi\sigma_0 = 1_K$ if and only if $1 = S^{-1}\varphi\sigma_0(1) = S^{-1}\varphi(\sum b_i e_i) = \sum_1^t b_i a_i$.

Thus \mathfrak{a} is projective if and only if φ splits, and this occurs if and only if there exist $b_1, \dots, b_t \in K$ such that $b_i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq D$ for all i and $\sum a_i b_i = 1$.

Note further that if this is the case then for $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, $b_i a \in D$ for all i and $a = 1a = \sum_1^t a_i b_i a$. This shows that a_1, \dots, a_t generate \mathfrak{a} .

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Let $d \in D$ be a common denominator for b_1, \dots, b_n , i.e. $db_i \in D$ for all i . Let \mathfrak{b} be the ideal generated by db_1, \dots, db_n . Then $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \sum db_i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq (d)$ since $b_i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq D$, and conversely $d = d \sum a_i b_i = \sum a_i db_i \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ so $(d) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$. Thus $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ is principal.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Easy. \square

Definition. If an ideal \mathfrak{a} in an integral domain D satisfies the preceding conditions, we say that \mathfrak{a} is **invertible**.