

1. Fill in the following alternate proof of the Jensen inequality. (Please do *not* use the Jensen inequality in proving any of the steps!)

- Suppose that ϕ is a convex function on an interval I , that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$, that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in (0, 1)$, and that $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$. Prove that $\phi(\sum_i \lambda_i x_i) \leq \sum_i \lambda_i \phi(x_i)$
- Use this to prove that for a simple function f on a measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) with $\mu(X) = 1$, $\phi(\int f d\mu) \leq \int \phi \circ f d\mu$
- Conclude that for an L_1 function f on such a space, $\phi(\int f d\mu) \leq \int \phi \circ f d\mu$

a) Induction on n . This is trivial for $n=1$, and just the definition of convexity for $n=2$.

Suppose then that (a) holds for n . Let $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in (0, 1)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = 1$.

Put $s = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$, $\delta_i = \lambda_i / s$ for $i \leq n$, note $s + \lambda_{n+1} = 1$, so

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) = \phi\left(s \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i x_i + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\right) \leq s \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i x_i\right) + \lambda_{n+1} \phi(x_{n+1}) \quad (*)$$

$$(x*) \leq s \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \phi(x_i) + \lambda_{n+1} \phi(x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i \phi(x_i).$$

The result follows for all n by induction.

Note that for (*) we need that $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i x_i \in I$ (which follows since I is convex)

and (x*) follows from the induction hypothesis.

- b) Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_{E_i}$. WLOG the sets E_i partition X (so some values of α_i will = 0)
- and for some $m \leq n$, $i > m \Leftrightarrow \mu E_i = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \phi(\int f d\mu) &= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mu E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \phi(\alpha_i) \mu E_i \quad (\text{by Jensen}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi(\alpha_i) \mu E_i \\ &= \int \sum_{i=1}^m \phi(\alpha_i) X_{E_i} d\mu = \int \phi(f) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

- c) Let $f \in L_1$. First, suppose f bounded, $|f| \leq N$ on X . Since ϕ is continuous,

$\phi([-N, N])$ is bounded, say $|\phi| \leq M$ on $[-N, N]$. Let f_n simple, $|f_n| \leq |f| \leq N$,

$f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. Note $\phi(f_n) \rightarrow \phi(f)$ pointwise, and $|\phi(f)|, |\phi(f_n)| \leq M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \phi(\int f d\mu) &= \phi\left(\lim_n \int f_n d\mu\right) \quad (\text{by DCT}) = \lim_n \phi\left(\int f_n d\mu\right) \quad (\text{since } \phi \text{ continuous}) \\ &\leq \lim_n \int \phi(f_n) d\mu = \int \phi(f) d\mu \quad (\text{by DCT again}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $f \in L_1$ is not bounded, but $\phi(f) \in L_1$, then put $E_n = \{x \mid |f(x)| \geq n, |\phi(f)(x)| \leq n\}$,

let $f_n = f X_{E_n}$, then $f_n \rightarrow f$, $|f_n| \leq |f|$, $\phi(f_n) \rightarrow \phi(f)$, $|\phi(f_n)| \leq |\phi(f)| + |\phi(0)| \in L_1$,

$$\text{so } \phi(\int f d\mu) = \phi\left(\lim_n \int f_n d\mu\right) \quad (\text{by DCT}) = \lim_n \phi\left(\int f_n d\mu\right) \quad (\text{since } \phi \text{ continuous}) \leq \lim_n \int \phi(f_n) d\mu \quad (\text{by above})$$

$$= \int \varphi f dm \text{ by DCT}$$

Finally, suppose $\varphi f \notin L_1$. Note that by convexity, $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(x_i) + M(x-x_i)$ for some M , so $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(x_i) - M|x-x_i| \forall x$, or $\varphi(f(x)) \geq -|\varphi(x)| - M|f(x)|$, so $0 \leq (\varphi f)_- \leq |\varphi(x)| + M|f(x)| \in L_1$. It follows that $\int (\varphi f)_+ dm = \infty$, so $\int (\varphi f) dm = \infty$, so again $\varphi(\int f dm) \leq \int \varphi f dm$.

2. Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that

$$T_a^b(f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)| : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}$$

$$P_a^b(f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i))^+ : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}$$

$$N_a^b(f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i+1}))^+ : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}$$

Show that $T_a^b(f) = \infty$ if and only if both $P_a^b(f) = \infty$ and $N_a^b(f) = \infty$.
(You may use other facts from class about variation.)

\Leftrightarrow Let $M > 0$. There is a partition $a = x_0 < \dots < x_n = b$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i))^+ > M$.

Then $T_a^b(f) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i))^+ > M$. Since arbitrary,

$T_a^b(f) = \infty$. (Or just use the class fact that $P_a^b(f), N_a^b(f) \leq T_a^b(f)$.)

\Rightarrow Suppose $P_a^b(f) < \infty$. Let $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ be any partition of $[a, b]$,

$$f(b) - f(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta f_i \quad (\text{where } \Delta f_i := f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)) = \sum_{\Delta f_i > 0} \Delta f_i - \sum_{\Delta f_i < 0} -\Delta f_i$$

$$\therefore \sum_{\Delta f_i < 0} -\Delta f_i = \sum_{\Delta f_i > 0} \Delta f_i + f(a) - f(b) \leq P_a^b(f) + f(a) - f(b).$$

Since the partition was arbitrary, $N_a^b(f) \leq P_a^b(f) + f(a) - f(b) < \infty$.

A similar argument shows that if $N_a^b(f) < \infty$, so is $P_a^b(f)$.

Finally, $T_a^b(f) = N_a^b(f) + P_a^b(f) < \infty$.